VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY

CHERI SMITH, Complainant

v.

CHANCERY NO. 53360

WESLEY C. SMITH Respondent

ORDER

The above-styled matter came on to be heard this 5th day of October, 2004 on the previously filed Motion for Substitute Guardian Ad Litem, and the matter was argued by the moving party and counsel for the Complainant, in consideration of which the motion is hereby DENIED, and it is hereby further

ORDERED that the defendant is prohibited from using abusive or threatening language directed toward or in the presence of the guardian or counsel for the Complainant, and it is further hereby

ORDERED that the defendant shall not appear at the office of the guardian or counsel for the complainant without first having obtained their permission to do so by securing an appointment in advance of such appearance

ENTERED THIS DAY OF William D. Hamblen
Judge

Seen and Agreed/Objected to:

Loretta Vardy

Counsel for Complainant

Seen and Agreed/Objected to: Object because Mr fahy is clearly not following the Mul Bruh Standards to govern per formace of Grandian at 1. ten Shelver posted on court web site. By law court Wesley E. Smith has obligation to ensure GAL Performs usover Represent also abject to the prior restraint of speech and that it only applies to detendent and not the abstract language.