CHILD SUPPORT GUIDELINES WORKSHEET Commonwealth of Virginia Va. Code § 20-108.2

Case No.: Chancery 53360

	Cheri Smith Wes	ley Smith	April 19, 2006
1.	Monthly Gross Income (see instructions on reverse)	<u>MOTHER</u> \$	DATE <u>FATHER</u> \$
2.	Adjustments for spousal support payments (see instructions on reverse)	\$	\$
3.	Adjustments for support of child(ren) (see instructions on reverse)	\$	\$
4.	Deductions from Monthly Gross Income allowable by law (see instructions on reverse)	-\$	-\$
5.	a. Available monthly incomeb. Combined monthly available income	\$6,193	\$
	(combine both available monthly income figures from line 5.a.)	\$	6,687
6.	Number of children in the present case for whom support is sought:		1
7.	a. Monthly basic child support obligation (from schedule — see instructions on reverse)	a. \$	823
	 b. Monthly amount allowable for health care coverage (see instructions on reverse) 	b. \$	47
	c. Monthly amount allowable for employment-related child care expenses (see instructions on reverse)	c. \$	0
8.	Total monthly child support obligation (add lines 7.a., 7.b., and 7.c.)	\$	870
		MOTHER	FATHER
9.	Percent obligation of each party (divide "available monthly income" on line 5.a. by line 5.b.)		<u>7</u> %
10.	Monthly child support obligation of each party (multiply line 8 by line 9)	\$ 809.10	\$ 60.90
11.	Deduction by non-custodial parent for health care coverage when paid direct non-custodial parent (from line 7.b.)	stly by \$	\$
		MOTHER	FATHER
12.	Adjustments (if any) to Child Support Guidelines Calculation (see instructions on reverse)		
	a. Credit for benefits received by or for the child derived from the parent's entitlement to disability insurance benefits to the extent that such derivat benefits are included in a parent's gross income	-\$ <u>0</u> ive	-\$0
	b	\$	\$
	c		\$
13.	Each party's adjusted share	\$ 809.10	\$60.90

EXPLANATION OF CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATIONS

CHERI SMITH V. WESLEY C. SMITH

Chancery No. 53360

1. Monthly Gross Income:

Based on actual income. Old imputed income for Wesley Smith was unreasonably high as shown by his last career job paying only 75% of that amount. Since that time the court case has further lowered his earning potential by ruining him financially and also having him arrested for attending his sons class party at school. Both conditions interfere with his getting security clearance again and thus significantly lower his income potential. Not that it really matters as unless hell freezes over and the court restores his parental rights Wesley Smith will be focused on that and not able to resume a career, which would require retraining and also and end to any jail threat before a quality employment could be pursued again.

2. Day Care Expenses

Day care expenses are only allowed if necessary for employment. Cheri Smith could have cooperated and arranged parenting time with Wesley Smith so as to avoid need for daycare. Instead she did the opposite removing Liam from his daily care and put him in daycare even though Wesley was in the marital home and available and willing to care for our son and our son was used to Wesley caring for him during the day and/or after school.

Summer Care could be entirely avoided if Cheri Smith would let our son spend the summer with his father.

Any reasonable Custody/Day Care arrangement should be able to eliminate the need for daycare. Any unreasonable Custody/Day Care arrangement isn't likely to generate any "Child Support" payments so it isn't worth discussing.